

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/611,479	PHAM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth Thompson	3672

**All Participants:**

(1) Kenneth Thompson.

**Status of Application:** Claims amended after Non-final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Tim Curington.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 May 2005

**Time:** 3:15

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

3-5, 9, 13 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



11 May 25

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Applicant's Attorney agreed to cancell claim 4 and amend claim 3, via Examiner's Amendment, to incorporate all the limitations of claim 4, indicated to contain allowable subject matter in the non-final rejection. Agreement was made to cancell claim 9, a duplicate of claim 4, which indirectly depends from claim 3. Agreement was made to amend claims 5, 13 and 17 to recite relative movement between the drive system and transfer device or bar.